

Dear Ms Tang Poh Geok

RE: A Response to Critique of Rev Dr Quek Suan Yew

1. Greetings in our Lord's blessed name.
2. Thank you for your critique of my papers to Rev Seet and BOE of Life BPC which I do not believe is 23 pages long. Perhaps you have in your possession the wrong papers. And perhaps due to the wrong papers you have written this subjective critique.
3. I realized that in your entire paper you quoted from the Bible only once. You quoted from 2 Tim 2:23-24, "*And the Lord's servant must not quarrel; instead, he must be kind to everyone, able to teach, **not resentful. Those who oppose him** he must gently instruct, in the hope that God will grant them repentance leading them to a knowledge of the truth, and that they will come to their senses and escape from the trap of the devil, who has taken them captive to do his will.*" I believe this quote is taken from the NIV [by the way your Bible reference is incorrect. The verses you quoted from are actually verses 24 to 26 and not 23 and 24]. The KJV quote is **2 Timothy 2:24-26**, "*And the servant of the Lord must not strive; but be gentle unto all men, apt to teach, **patient**, In meekness instructing those that **oppose themselves**; if God peradventure will give them repentance to the acknowledging of the truth; And that they may recover themselves out of the snare of the devil, who are taken captive by him at his will.*"

NOTICE: The difference between NIV's use of "not resentful" and KJV's "patient". How can "a positive" virtue turn into "a negative"? Also KJV's -"oppose themselves" changed to "oppose him" in the NIV. The phrase "The last phrase – in the "NIV-"to do his will" refers to Satan; whereas the KJV retained the Greek words "at his will" whereby commentators have concluded that the "he" refers to God and that He is sovereign even over the actions of the devil."

You might know that the NIV is a "translation" of the "THOUGHTS" of the biblical writers. This means that the translators would interpret what they think the Bible means and then he or she would use his or her own words to explain the thought of the writers. So if you have a Baptist then it would be Baptist in thought. If you have a lesbian then it would be inclined in that direction. You do know that one of the translators of the NIV, Virginia Mollencott, is a self confessed lesbian? Do you also know that the word sodomite or sodomites are removed from the NIV? (see Deu. 23:17; 1 Kings 14:24; 15:12; 22:46; 23:7)

Furthermore comparing the NIV with the KV you will find the following major differences:

Here are some of the differences found in the NIV when compared to the KJV. It may surprise many that Matthew 18:11 is completely omitted from the NIV. Matthew 18:11 in the KJV reads, "For the Son of man is come to save that which was lost." The salvific thrust of Christ's message is completely lost by the omission of this verse. This is the key verse which acts as the transition between what He has said earlier, on not despising little ones, and what He was about to teach in the parable of the lost sheep. The omission by the NIV translators of this verse weakens the message of Christ.

The NIV translates Luke 9:55-56 as, "But Jesus turned and rebuked them, and they went to another village." But the KJV translates them as, "But he turned, and rebuked them, and said, Ye know not what manner of spirit ye are of. For the Son of man is not come to destroy men's lives, but to save them. And they went to another village." The differences are glaring and obviously self-explanatory. The entire meaning is lost with all the words missing.

Acts 8:37 is completely omitted in the NIV. But the KJV has it as, "And Philip said, If thou believest with all thine heart, thou mayest. And he answered and said, I believe that Jesus Christ is the Son of God." The key answer of Philip to the Ethiopian Eunuch's question on what hinders him to be baptized is lost in the NIV.

In Mark 16:9-19; John 7:53-8:11, we find large portions of God's Word being doubted by the NIV. The NIV says that "The most reliable early manuscripts and other ancient witnesses do not have Mark 16:9-20," and "The earliest and most reliable manuscripts and other ancient witnesses do not have John 7:53-8:11."¹ These two passages are unique to Mark and to John, and losing Mark 16:9-20 would mean losing one of the most important proof-passages that speak against the modern signs and wonders movement, and losing John 7:53-8:11 would mean losing one of the tenderest passages on how the Lord mercifully dealt with the woman taken in adultery.

In Luke 2:33 the NIV reads, "The child's father and mother marveled at what was said about him." The KJV, on the other hand, has, "And Joseph and his mother marvelled at those things which were spoken of him." As already mentioned above the KJV, true to the Greek text, shows clearly that Joseph was not Christ's father. The NIV by making Joseph the father of Christ undermines the deity of Christ.

You said in your point 3 (b) para. 2 Quote, "Because in all honesty, the Perfect Bible is based on faith that we know that our KJV or NKJ or other versions are

¹These quotes are taken from the main text of the New International Version. Many teachers of God's Word have come to believe these passages do not belong to the inspired Scriptures. One Greek professor that I know of categorically states that he would not preach from these two texts since they are not part of God's Holy Word.

the Perfect Word of God as long as they are true to the writings of the Prophets and Apostles!" Please tell me which one is "true to the writings of the Prophets and Apostles?" . . the KJV or NIV?

By the way do you believe that the Greek text from which the NIV is translated from has mistakes or not? If it has then the NIV would have mistakes right?

4. You said that I have falsely accused Rev Seet and the BOE of Life BPC, Rev James Chan and Rev Tang Wai Kay for saying that they believed that "we have an errant Bible today" (see # 3 (b) para. A). May I know if you have asked each and every one of them whether they believed that we have **a perfect Bible** (original languages and not a translation) **today**? If they say they do believe **in a perfect Bible today** please ask them to identify which one is perfect or has no mistakes and explain how they identified it? Also ask them to put it down in writing for all of Christendom to read, that they all believe we have a perfect Bible **today**! I will be more than happy to apologize to them and retract what I have written. But IF NOT and they cannot I hope as a Christian you would have the courtesy to withdraw your allegations with an apology and in writing.
5. You called me a blatant liar and then you wrote, "He does it by making the 2% variant or divergences in readings among the 5,000 manuscripts of the Bible to be a major doctrine." First and foremost, how do you know that there are 2% variant or divergences in readings? Have all the 5,000 manuscripts been compared against one another? How do you arrive at this 2% variant or divergence? Someone compared all the existing Greek NT available in the market today and found that only 65% corresponded with one another. The other 35% are "variant" or "differences" or "mistakes". Can you define what you mean by "variant"? Do they mean "mistakes"?

Can you tell me if the Ten Commandments (in Hebrew) are perfect or do they have mistakes? How do you know either way? You preach the gospel of Jesus Christ and if you were asked whether it comes from a Bible with mistakes or variants or is it perfect what would your answer be? If the Bible has mistakes all our doctrines are in jeopardy. This is indeed a very serious attack of the Bible, do you not agree?

6. Jesus says in **Hebrews 11:6**, "*But without faith it is impossible to please him: for he that cometh to God must believe that he is, and that he is a rewarder of them that diligently seek him.*" This is the fundamental belief in the whole Bible. Is it not by faith that you believe that God created the world out of nothing, all the miracles in the Bible including Jesus rose from the dead and all the future prophecies in the Bible that are yet to be fulfilled? Can you "prove" any of these truths that are taught in the Bible please? The Bible said that God will preserve

perfectly the same perfect words that He has inspired perfectly. I believe and teach exactly what the Bible says! You quoted BB Warfield in your critique. May I ask if you believe in everything that BB Warfield believed? Why and why not?

7. You called me a heretic. All I believe in is that I hold in my hands a Bible with no mistakes. What is so heretical about believing that God gave me a perfect Bible and that he has also preserved it through the ages? Did God not give to Moses the perfect Ten Commandments when he came down from Mount Sinai? **Psalms 19:7** affirms, *"The law of the LORD is perfect, converting the soul: the testimony of the LORD is sure, making wise the simple."*
8. May I ask you, Ms Tang, whether the Bible you hold in your hand, does it have any mistakes or not?
9. The doctrine of Verbal (words) Plenary (all) Preservation is a Bible doctrine and given to us by God Himself according to Holy Scriptures and not pontification. To pontificate is to declare without biblical authority except for the power that supposedly resides in the person himself. You have made many allegations and many of them pertain to my motives and heart. Where is your basis for such strong words of condemnation? My basis for believing in the doctrine of VPP is the perfect Bible and they are listed below.

Psalms 12:6-7, *"The words of the LORD are pure words: as silver tried in a furnace of earth, purified seven times. Thou shalt keep them, O LORD, thou shalt preserve them from this generation for ever."*

Deuteronomy 8:3, *"And he humbled thee, and suffered thee to hunger, and fed thee with manna, which thou knewest not, neither did thy fathers know; that he might make thee know that man doth not live by bread only, but by every word that proceedeth out of the mouth of the LORD doth man live."*

Proverbs 30:5, *"Every word of God is pure: he is a shield unto them that put their trust in him."*

Matthew 4:4, *"But he answered and said, It is written, Man shall not live by bread alone, but by every word that proceedeth out of the mouth of God."*

Luke 4:4, *"And Jesus answered him, saying, It is written, That man shall not live by bread alone, but by every word of God."*

Matthew 5:18, *"For verily I say unto you, Till heaven and earth pass, one jot or one tittle shall in no wise pass from the law, till all be fulfilled."*

Matthew 24:35, *“Heaven and earth shall pass away, but my words shall not pass away.”*

Luke 16:17, *“And it is easier for heaven and earth to pass, than one tittle of the law to fail.”*

Luke 21:33, *“Heaven and earth shall pass away: but my words shall not pass away.”*

John 10:35, *“If he called them gods, unto whom the word of God came, and the scripture cannot be broken;”*

Revelation 22:18-19, *“For I testify unto every man that heareth the words of the prophecy of this book, If any man shall add unto these things, God shall add unto him the plagues that are written in this book: And if any man shall take away from the words of the book of this prophecy, God shall take away his part out of the book of life, and out of the holy city, and from the things which are written in this book.”*

10. Do reconsider the doctrine of VPP. It simply means that we hold in our hands the perfect Bible (in the original languages).

Sincerely yours in Christ's service and in the defense of God's perfect Word,

Rev (Dr) Quek Suan Yew

02 February 2008